

# Uncertainty Quantification and Quasi-Monte Carlo

## Sommersemester 2025

Vesa Kaarnioja  
[vesa.kaarnioja@fu-berlin.de](mailto:vesa.kaarnioja@fu-berlin.de)

FU Berlin, FB Mathematik und Informatik

Sixth lecture, May 19, 2025

## Theorem (CBC error bound)

The generating vector  $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{U}_n^s$  constructed by the CBC algorithm, minimizing the squared shift-averaged worst-case error  $[e_{n,s}^{\text{sh}}(\mathbf{z})]^2$  for the weighted unanchored Sobolev space in each step, satisfies

$$[e_{n,s}^{\text{sh}}(\mathbf{z})]^2 \leq \left( \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{\emptyset \neq \mathbf{u} \subseteq \{1:s\}} \gamma_{\mathbf{u}}^{\lambda} \left( \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{(2\pi^2)^{\lambda}} \right)^{|\mathbf{u}|} \right)^{1/\lambda} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in (1/2, 1], \quad (1)$$

where  $\zeta(x) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-x}$  denotes the Riemann zeta function for  $x > 1$ .

*Proof.* Step  $s = 1$ : by direct calculation, it is easy to see that

$[e_{n,1}^{\text{sh}}(z_1)]^2 = \frac{\gamma_1}{6n^2}$  and this is less than or equal to  $\left( \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \gamma_1^{\lambda} \left( \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{(2\pi^2)^{\lambda}} \right) \right)^{1/\lambda}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\lambda \in (1/2, 1]$ , and  $\gamma_1 > 0$ . Induction step: suppose that we have chosen the first  $s - 1$  components  $z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}$ , and that (1) holds with  $s$  replaced by  $s - 1$ .

We can write the squared worst-case error in dimension-recursive form as

$$\begin{aligned}[e_{n,s}^{\text{sh}}(z_1, \dots, z_s)]^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \gamma_u \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j \in u} B_2 \left( \left\{ \frac{kz_j}{n} \right\} \right) \\ &= [e_{n,s-1}^{\text{sh}}(z_1, \dots, z_{s-1})]^2 + \theta(z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}, z_s),\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where (suppressing the dependence of  $\theta$  on  $z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(z_s) &:= \sum_{s \in u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \gamma_u \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \prod_{j \in u} B_2 \left( \left\{ \frac{kz_j}{n} \right\} \right) \right) \quad (\text{use Fourier expansion of } B_2) \\ &= \sum_{s \in u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \frac{\gamma_u}{(2\pi^2)^{|u|}} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\mathbf{h}_u \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^{|u|}} \frac{e^{2\pi i k \mathbf{h}_u \cdot \mathbf{z}_u / n}}{\prod_{j \in u} h_j^2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{s \in u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \frac{\gamma_u}{(2\pi^2)^{|u|}} \left( \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{h}_u \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^{|u|} \\ \mathbf{h}_u \cdot \mathbf{z}_u \equiv 0 \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in u} h_j^2} \right),\end{aligned}$$

where we used the character property  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{2\pi i k \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{z} / n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{z} \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ .

Noting that  $\mathbf{h}_u \cdot \mathbf{z}_u \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  can be written equivalently as  $\mathbf{h}_{u \setminus \{s\}} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{u \setminus \{s\}} \equiv -h_s z_s \pmod{n}$  for  $s \in u \subseteq \{1:s\}$ , we arrive at...

$$\theta(z_s) = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{u} \subseteq \{1:s\}} \frac{\gamma_{\mathfrak{u}}}{(2\pi^2)^{|\mathfrak{u}|}} \left( \sum_{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{h_s^2} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^{|\mathfrak{u}|-1} \\ \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \equiv -h_s z_s \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in \mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} h_j^2} \right)$$

If  $z_s^*$  denotes the value chosen by the CBC algorithm in dimension  $s$ , then we use the following principle:

**Averaging argument:** *The minimum is always smaller than or equal to the average.*

In particular, this implies for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$  that

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta(z_s^*)]^\lambda &\leq \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{z_s \in \mathbb{U}_n} [\theta(z_s)]^\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{z_s \in \mathbb{U}_n} \left[ \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{u} \subseteq \{1:s\}} \frac{\gamma_{\mathfrak{u}}}{(2\pi^2)^{|\mathfrak{u}|}} \left( \sum_{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{h_s^2} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^{|\mathfrak{u}|-1} \\ \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \equiv -h_s z_s \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in \mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} h_j^2} \right) \right]^\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{z_s \in \mathbb{U}_n} \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{u} \subseteq \{1:s\}} \frac{\gamma_{\mathfrak{u}}^\lambda}{(2\pi^2)^{|\mathfrak{u}|\lambda}} \sum_{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{|h_s|^{2\lambda}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^{|\mathfrak{u}|-1} \\ \mathbf{h}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \equiv -h_s z_s \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in \mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} |h_j|^{2\lambda}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the inequality  $(\sum_k a_k)^\lambda \leq \sum_k a_k^\lambda$ ,  $a_k \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ .

We separate the terms depending on whether or not  $h_s$  is a multiple of  $n$ . Note that this means

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{|h_s|^{2\lambda}} &= \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ k \neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|kn|^{2\lambda}} + \sum_{\substack{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ h_s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{|h_s|^{2\lambda}} \\ &= \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{n^{2\lambda}} + \sum_{c=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ h_s \equiv c \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{|h_s|^{2\lambda}}. \end{aligned}$$

It will be convenient to carry out a change of variable to eliminate the dependence on  $h_s$  from the innermost sum on the previous slide. Denote by  $z_s^{-1}$  the multiplicative inverse of  $z_s$  in  $\mathbb{U}_n$ , i.e.,  $z_s z_s^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{z_s \in \mathbb{U}_n} \sum_{s \in u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \frac{\gamma_u^\lambda}{(2\pi^2)^{|u|\lambda}} \sum_{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{|h_s|^{2\lambda}} \sum_{\substack{h_{u \setminus \{s\}} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^{|u|-1} \\ h_{u \setminus \{s\}} \cdot z_{u \setminus \{s\}} \equiv -h_s z_s \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in u \setminus \{s\}} |h_j|^{2\lambda}} \\ &= \sum_{s \in u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \frac{\gamma_u^\lambda}{(2\pi^2)^{|u|\lambda}} \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{n^{2\lambda}} \sum_{\substack{h_{u \setminus \{s\}} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^{|u|-1} \\ h_{u \setminus \{s\}} \cdot z_{u \setminus \{s\}} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in u \setminus \{s\}} |h_j|^{2\lambda}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{z_s \in \mathbb{U}_n} \sum_{c=1}^{n-1} \sum_{s \in u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \frac{\gamma_u^\lambda}{(2\pi^2)^{|u|\lambda}} \sum_{\substack{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ h_s \equiv c \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{|h_s|^{2\lambda}} \sum_{\substack{h_{u \setminus \{s\}} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^{|u|-1} \\ h_{u \setminus \{s\}} \cdot z_{u \setminus \{s\}} \equiv -cz_s \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in u \setminus \{s\}} |h_j|^{2\lambda}}. \end{aligned}$$

We separate the terms depending on whether or not  $h_s$  is a multiple of  $n$ . Note that this means

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{|h_s|^{2\lambda}} &= \sum_{\substack{k=-\infty \\ k \neq 0}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|kn|^{2\lambda}} + \sum_{\substack{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ h_s \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{|h_s|^{2\lambda}} \\ &= \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{n^{2\lambda}} + \sum_{c=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ h_s \equiv c \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{|h_s|^{2\lambda}}. \end{aligned}$$

It will be convenient to carry out a change of variable to eliminate the dependence on  $h_s$  from the innermost sum on the previous slide. Denote by  $z_s^{-1}$  the multiplicative inverse of  $z_s$  in  $\mathbb{U}_n$ , i.e.,  $z_s z_s^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{z_s \in \mathbb{U}_n} \sum_{s \in u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \frac{\gamma_u^\lambda}{(2\pi^2)^{|u|\lambda}} \sum_{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{|h_s|^{2\lambda}} \sum_{\substack{h_{u \setminus \{s\}} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^{|u|-1} \\ h_{u \setminus \{s\}} \cdot z_{u \setminus \{s\}} \equiv -h_s z_s \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in u \setminus \{s\}} |h_j|^{2\lambda}} \\ &= \sum_{s \in u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \frac{\gamma_u^\lambda}{(2\pi^2)^{|u|\lambda}} \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{n^{2\lambda}} \sum_{\substack{h_{u \setminus \{s\}} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^{|u|-1} \\ h_{u \setminus \{s\}} \cdot z_{u \setminus \{s\}} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in u \setminus \{s\}} |h_j|^{2\lambda}} \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{z_s \in \mathbb{U}_n} \sum_{c=1}^{n-1} \sum_{s \in u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \frac{\gamma_u^\lambda}{(2\pi^2)^{|u|\lambda}} \sum_{\substack{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ h_s \equiv -cz_s^{-1} \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{|h_s|^{2\lambda}} \sum_{\substack{h_{u \setminus \{s\}} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^{|u|-1} \\ h_{u \setminus \{s\}} \cdot z_{u \setminus \{s\}} \equiv c \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in u \setminus \{s\}} |h_j|^{2\lambda}}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $c \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $\{\text{mod}(cz_s^{-1}, n) : z_s \in \mathbb{U}_n\} = \{\text{mod}(cz, n) : z \in \mathbb{U}_n\}$  and  $\gcd(c/g, n/g) = 1$  with  $g = \gcd(c, n)$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{z_s \in \mathbb{U}_n} \sum_{\substack{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ h_s \equiv -cz_s^{-1} \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{|h_s|^{2\lambda}} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{U}_n} \sum_{\substack{h_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ h_s \equiv -cz \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{|h_s|^{2\lambda}} \\
&= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{U}_n} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{|mn - cz|^{2\lambda}} \\
&= g^{-2\lambda} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{U}_n} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{|m(n/g) - (c/g)z|^{2\lambda}} \\
&= g^{-2\lambda} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{U}_n} \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ h \equiv -(c/g)z \pmod{n/g}}} \frac{1}{|h|^{2\lambda}} \\
&\leq g^{-2\lambda} g \sum_{a=1}^{n/g-1} \sum_{\substack{h \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \\ h \equiv a \pmod{n/g}}} \frac{1}{|h|^{2\lambda}} \leq g^{1-2\lambda} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{|h|^{2\lambda}} \leq 2\zeta(2\lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last step holds since  $g \geq 1$  and  $\lambda > 1/2$ . (The condition  $\lambda > 1/2$  is needed to ensure that  $\zeta(2\lambda) < \infty$ .)

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
[\theta(z_s^*)]^\lambda &\leq \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{u} \subseteq \{1:s\}} \frac{\gamma_{\mathfrak{u}}^\lambda}{(2\pi^2)^{|\mathfrak{u}|\lambda}} \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{n^{2\lambda}} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{h}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^{|\mathfrak{u}|-1} \\ \boldsymbol{h}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in \mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} |h_j|^{2\lambda}} \\
&+ \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{u} \subseteq \{1:s\}} \frac{\gamma_{\mathfrak{u}}^\lambda}{(2\pi^2)^{|\mathfrak{u}|\lambda}} 2\zeta(2\lambda) \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{h}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \in (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})^{|\mathfrak{u}|-1} \\ \boldsymbol{h}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}}} \frac{1}{\prod_{j \in \mathfrak{u} \setminus \{s\}} |h_j|^{2\lambda}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{u} \subseteq \{1:s\}} \gamma_{\mathfrak{u}}^\lambda \left( \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{(2\pi^2)^\lambda} \right)^{|\mathfrak{u}|},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\frac{1}{n^{2\lambda}} \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(n)}$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $\lambda \in (1/2, 1]$ .<sup>†</sup>

---

<sup>†</sup> $\varphi(n) \leq n \leq n^{2\lambda} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n^{2\lambda}} \leq \frac{1}{\varphi(n)}$ .

Returning to our original dimension-wise decomposition (2), using the bound on  $\theta(z_s^*)$  and the induction hypothesis yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 [e_{n,s}^{\text{sh}}(z_1, \dots, z_s)]^2 &= [e_{n,s-1}^{\text{sh}}(z_1, \dots, z_{s-1})]^2 + \theta(z_1, \dots, z_{s-1}, z_s) \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1:s-1\}} \gamma_u^\lambda \left( \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{(2\pi^2)^\lambda} \right)^{|u|} \right)^{1/\lambda} + \left( \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{s \in u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \gamma_u^\lambda \left( \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{(2\pi^2)^\lambda} \right)^{|u|} \right)^{1/\lambda} \\
 &\leq \left( \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1:s-1\}} \gamma_u^\lambda \left( \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{(2\pi^2)^\lambda} \right)^{|u|} + \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{s \in u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \gamma_u^\lambda \left( \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{(2\pi^2)^\lambda} \right)^{|u|} \right)^{1/\lambda} \\
 &= \left( \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \gamma_u^\lambda \left( \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{(2\pi^2)^\lambda} \right)^{|u|} \right)^{1/\lambda},
 \end{aligned}$$

proving the assertion. □



**Significance:** Suppose that  $f \in H_{s,\gamma}$  for all  $\gamma = (\gamma_u)_{u \subseteq \{1:s\}}$ . Then for any given sequence of weights  $\gamma$ , we can use the CBC algorithm to obtain a generating vector satisfying the error bound

$$\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_\Delta |I_s f - Q_{n,s}^\Delta f|^2} \leq \left( \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{\emptyset \neq u \subseteq \{1:s\}} \gamma_u^\lambda \left( \frac{2\zeta(2\lambda)}{(2\pi^2)^\lambda} \right)^{|u|} \right)^{1/(2\lambda)} \|f\|_{s,\gamma} \quad (3)$$

for all  $\lambda \in (1/2, 1]$ . We can use the following strategy:

- For a given integrand  $f$ , estimate the norm  $\|f\|_{s,\gamma}$ .
- Find weights  $\gamma$  which *minimize* the error bound (3).
- Using the optimized weights  $\gamma$  as input, use the CBC algorithm to find a generating vector which *satisfies* the error bound (3).

### Remarks:

- If  $n$  is prime, then  $\frac{1}{\varphi(n)} = \frac{1}{n-1}$ . If  $n = 2^k$ , then  $\frac{1}{\varphi(n)} = \frac{2}{n}$ . For general (composite)  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \leq \frac{e^\gamma \log \log n + \frac{3}{\log \log n}}{n}$ , where  $\gamma = 0.57721566\dots$  (Euler–Mascheroni constant).
- The optimal convergence rate close to  $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$  is obtained with  $\lambda \rightarrow 1/2$ , but note that  $\lambda = 1/2$  is not permitted since  $\zeta(2\lambda) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 1/2$ .

## Appendix

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Recall that

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m} \Leftrightarrow \frac{a - b}{m} \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow a = km + b \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

### Theorem (Bézout's identity)

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$ .

### Corollary

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

- The linear congruence  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has a solution if and only if  $\gcd(a, m)|b$ .
- If  $\gcd(a, m)|b$ , then there are exactly  $\gcd(a, m)$  solutions modulo  $m$  to the linear congruence  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ .

Let  $z, n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\gcd(z, n) = 1$ . Then the above corollary implies that the linear congruence

$$zx \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

has exactly one solution (modulo  $n$ ). This solution is called the *modular multiplicative inverse* and it is often denoted by  $z^{-1} := x$ .